	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/688,863 Examiner	YOSHII, HISATO
	Laminer	Acom
	Charles Goodman	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is s communication is s	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Appeal Brief filed on</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 2,4-6,9-12 and 14-19.		
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>17 October 2000</u> are accepted by the	ne Examiner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	e been received. e been received in Application	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 	son's Patent Drawing Review - 's Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on th	in the Office action of ne drawings in the front (not the back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./l 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's . —	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) Jammary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance ARLES GOOL PIMARY EXAMP

Application/Control Number: 09/688,863

Art Unit: 3724

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record do not anticipate nor do they reasonably suggest the cutting machine as claimed in claims 6, 11, and 12. The closest prior art, Witjes (US 6,148,706) teaches a cutting machine comprising many of the claimed elements as noted in the previous Office Actions. However, Witjes lacks a level adjusting mechanism. In that regard, it appears that Neal (US 1,435,252) teaches a level adjusting mechanism as noted in the last Office Action. Upon reconsideration, it would appear that the teachings of Neal would not apply to Witjes due to the fundamental difference in which the workpiece is handled between the two references. In Witjest, the workpiece is fed in a horizontal manner, i.e. where the thickness of the board is measured vertically. In contrast, the workpiece in Neal is fed vertically, i.e. wherein the thickness of the board is measured horizontally. In other words, the features (D, D) identified by the Examiner in the previous rejection function as a guide as opposed to being a vertically adjustable table that supports the workpiece. Moreover, none of the other prior art of record fairly teach or suggest this lacking feature in Witjes. This applies to all the independent claims. Thus, it is believed that the claimed inventions with the lacking feature in combination with all the other recited elements are deemed to be allowable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/688,863

Art Unit: 3724

to 6:00 PM EST.

Page 3

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

cg // December 27, 2004 Charles Goodman Primary Examiner AU 3724

CHARLES GOOD